Hard diffraction in PYTHIA8

Christine O.Rasmussen

- PYTHIA8
- Soft diffraction
- Hard diffraction
- Preliminary results
- Conclusion and outlook

Jul. 2 2015 MCnet Slide 1/19 PYTHIA8 is a general purpose event generator for high-energy collisions.



It attempts to describe all parts. [Figure: T. Sjöstrand]



And does a fairly good job for most of the physics:





PYTHIA8

Currently 8 authors (incl. 3 post-docs and 2 PhD students).

New main features as of version 8.2:

- New models of colour reconnections (J. Christiansen, P. Skands + T. Sjöstrand)
- Variety of matching and merging schemes (S. Prestel + L. Lönnblad)

Ongoing work:

- New PDF for photons for e⁺e⁻ studies (I. Helenius + T. Sjöstrand)
- New model for hard diffraction (C. Rasmussen + T. Sjöstrand)

An Introduction to PYTHIA8.2 [Comput.Phys.Commun. 191 (2015) 159]

Christine O. Rasmussen — Hard diffraction in PYTHIA8 — Jul. 2 2015 Slide 4/19

The soft diffractive PYTHIA8 machinery is based on the Ingelman-Schlein picture of a Pomeron as a hadronlike state.

 $\mathbb{P}p$ and $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{P}$ collisions are here developed to also include the interleaved parton evolution of MPI, ISR and FSR.

MPI gives a smooth merging of hard jets and soft events.





Low-mass region: $M_{\rm X} \leq 10$ GeV.

- Represent *M*_X as longitudinal string
- Probability to take out a gluon or quark from Pomeron: $\frac{P(q)}{P(g)} = \frac{N}{M^p}$, *p* tunable
- Quark = 1 string, gluon = 2 strings
- No ISR, FSR, MPI
- Fragment with Lund String fragmentation model

High mass region: $M_{\rm X} > 10$ GeV.

- Based on Ingelman-Schlein approach
- Set up $\mathbb{P}p$ system
- MPI machinery decide interactions
- Includes interleaved MPI, ISR, FSR evolution in $\mathbb{P}p$ system
- Now includes 7 models for Pomeron flux and 5 for Pomeron PDF

Christine O. Rasmussen — Hard diffraction in PYTHIA8 — Jul. 2 2015 t Slide 6/19

 $\sigma_{\rm SD}$ with Pomeron-based parametrisation of Schuler-Sjöstrand:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \sigma_{\mathrm{SD}}}{\mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}M^2} = \frac{g_{3\mathbb{P}}}{16\pi} \beta_{A\mathbb{P}} \beta_{B\mathbb{P}}^2 \frac{1}{M^2} \exp(B_{\mathrm{SD}}t) F_{\mathrm{SD}}$$

MPI activity in SD tuned to give approximately same amount as in ND:

$$\langle n_{\rm MPI} \rangle ({\rm ND}) \sim \frac{\sigma_{\rm hard}}{\sigma_{\rm ND}} \Rightarrow$$

 $\langle n_{\rm MPI} \rangle ({\rm SD}) \sim \frac{\sigma_{\rm hard} ({\rm No \, gap \, survival})}{\sigma_{\mathbb{P}p} ({\rm No \, gap \, survival})} = \frac{\sigma_{\rm hard}}{\sigma_{\mathbb{P}p}^{\rm eff}}$

with $\sigma_{\mathbb{P}p}^{\text{eff}} = 10 \text{ mb}$, tunable. Gap always survives, as MPI not allowed between Pomerons hadron-remnant and other hadronic remnant.

Christine O. Rasmussen — Hard diffraction in PYTHIA8 — Jul. 2 2015 Slide 7/19



From MCPlots [mcplots.cern.ch]

Christine O. Rasmussen — Hard diffraction in PYTHIA8 — Jul. 2 2015 Slide 8/19

Objective: Given a hard scattering, $ab \rightarrow cd$, what is the probability for this to have been created in a diffractive process?

Available: Parton id, x and Q^2 .

Method: Evaluate the diffractive structure function and use dynamical gap survival.

Assumption 1: The hadronic PDFs can be split into non-diffractive and diffractive.

$$f_i(x,Q^2) = f_i^{\rm ND}(x,Q^2) + F_i^{\rm D}(x,Q^2)$$

Assumption 2: The diffractive structure function factorises.

$$F_i^{\mathrm{D}}(x,Q^2) = \int_x^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{\xi} \int_{t_{\min}}^{t_{\max}} \mathrm{d}t \, f_{\mathbb{P}/p}(\xi,t) \, f_{i/\mathbb{P}}(x/\xi,Q^2)$$

Christine O. Rasmussen — Hard diffraction in PYTHIA8 — Jul. 2 2015 Slide 9/19

Only flux is *t*-dependent, hence we integrate *t* out:

$$f_{\mathbb{P}/\mathrm{p}}(\xi) = \int_{t_{min}}^{t_{max}} \mathrm{d}t \, f_{\mathbb{P}/\mathrm{p}}(\xi,t)$$

with mass-dependent limits, $t = t(m_A^2, m_B^2, m_{A'(X)}^2, m_{X(B')}^2)$.



The probabilities for either sides to be diffractive are

$$\begin{split} P_{\mathrm{B}} &= F^{\mathrm{D}}(x_a,Q^2)/f_{\mathrm{p}}(x_a,Q^2)\\ P_{\mathrm{A}} &= F^{\mathrm{D}}(x_b,Q^2)/f_{\mathrm{p}}(x_b,Q^2) \end{split}$$







Dynamical gap survival:



SD $ab \rightarrow X$ process with beam remnants from both proton and Pomeron.

Christine O. Rasmussen — Hard diffraction in PYTHIA8 — Jul. 2 2015 Cret Slide 12/19





Diffractive dijet production at the Tevatron.



(a) The ratio SD/ND dijet events at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ and $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV from CDF [Phys.Rev.D86.(2012) 032009]. (b) The diffractive structure function measured at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV from CDF [Phys.Rev.Lett.84.(2000) 5043]

 Christine O. Rasmussen — Hard diffraction in PYTHIA8 — Jul. 2 2015 et Slide 14/19







Events too hard \Rightarrow ratio not steep enough. We need to suppress hardest events.



Solutions: New Pomeron fluxes and/or PDFs.





	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow Gap + W$	$p\bar{p} ightarrow Gap + Z$
D0	$(0.89^{+0.19}_{-0.17})\%$	$(1.44^{+0.61}_{-0.52})\%$
	$par{p} ightarrow ar{p}' + W$	$par{p} o ar{p}' + Z$
CDF	(1.0 ± 0.11) %	(0.88±0.22) %
	$ ho ar{ ho} ightarrow ar{ ho}' + W$	$par{p} o ar{p}' + Z$
PYTHIA8 MPI-checked	$\sim 1.7\%$	$\sim 2\%$

Fractions are too large. D0:[Phys.Lett.B574(2003)169] CDF:[Phys.Rev.D82(2010)112004]



Conclusion

- We have developed a new model for hard diffraction with dynamical gap survival
- Model is implemented in PYTHIA8, publicly available (but still being tested)
- Model gives a factor \sim 2 larger fractions for diffractive W/Z than observed
- Kinematical distributions disagree with CDF data we obtain too hard events
- Development of new Pomeron flux and/or PDF might solve the problems. On to-do list for Autumn
- LHC studies on to-do list. Comparison to eg. CMS feasibility studies [CMS PAS DIF-07-002].

