Heavy flavour in Pythia 8 Heavy flavour in showers only

Stefan Prestel



Heavy Flavour Production at the LHC IPPP Durham, April 21, 2016



- This will be a review of some heavy flavour aspects of Pythia 8:
- 1. Parton shower *initial state / final state*
- 2. Matching & merging
- 3. Hadronization and particle decay

Sources of mass effects in parton showers

- (a) Radiator masses, emission mass (e.g. tabulated in Nucl.Phys. B603 (2001) 297-342)
- (b) Recoiler mass, virtuality, dipole mass
- (c) Kinematics

General belief: Parton shower should always reduce to DGLAP in the (pseudo)-collinear limit.

But keep in mind: We need to set up kinematics. Not all gluons can split into quarks! DGLAP kernels capture the phase space constraints *only* in particular phase space limits.

Comments:

DGLAP kernels contain divergences in the anti-collinear direction, which has to be removed "by hand" \Rightarrow Angular ordering, dipole showers Expected differences:

Different z-definitions, evolution- p_{\perp} , phase space factorization all give different mass effects.

Heavy flavour in ISR (I)

For heavy initial quarks, ISR is split into two regions:

Above km_Q^2

Between $m_Q^2 < p_\perp^2 < km_Q^2$

Above km_Q^2

- Massless kernels (possibly with massless ME correction)
- Massive kinematics

Heavy flavour in ISR (II)

For heavy initial quarks, ISR is split into two regions:

Above km_0^2

Between
$$m_Q^2 < p_\perp^2 < k m_Q^2$$

Between $m_Q^2 < p_\perp^2 < k m_Q^2 ~(k=4)$

- Forced evolution of b-quark with only $g \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ conversion. No gluon radiation off b-quark.
- Massive kernel
- Conversion forced around threshold.

⇒ Pythia will always produce a final state b-quark!

Does this have an effect?

Heavy flavour in ISR: Theory results



Figure (p_{\perp} of b-quark in $bb \rightarrow Z$, boosted Z) taken from arXiv:1401.6364 [hep-ph] by Nagy, Soper



Variation of b-conversion window: Default uses k = 4, small window uses k = 1.01



Variation of b-conversion window: Default uses $k = (2)^2$, small window uses $k = (1.1)^2$

Mass effects in FSR come from three sources:

- (a) Matrix element corrections (mostly V-emission, BSM showers) Removing ME corrections can mean no mass effects!
- (b) g
 ightarrow bb splitting
- (c) Massive recoilers in $g \rightarrow gg$ splittings¹

The construction of $g \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ allows choices:

Evolution variable can be different from *g*-emission case Energy sharing variable can be different from *g*-emission case Effect of finite dipole mass (phase space boundaries)? Argument of running coupling?

¹*Recent suggestion by Thaler, Maltoni and Selvaggi. Less realistic dead cone without this. Thanks!*

Heavy flavour in FSR: $g \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ (I)

Options by Sjöstrand, see online manual

Choices for $g \rightarrow bb \Rightarrow$ Pythia allows to assess effect by offering various kernels.

Basic observations:

Quark masses for $e^+e^- \to \gamma^* \to Q\bar{Q}$ mean

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma(e^+e^- \to \gamma^* \to Q\bar{Q})}{d\cos\theta} &\propto & \beta \left(1 + \cos^2\theta + \frac{4m_Q^2}{m_{\gamma^*}^2}\sin^2\theta\right) \\ &\propto & \beta \left(z^2 + (1-z)^2 + \frac{8m_Q^2}{m_{\gamma^*}^2}z(1-z)\right) \end{aligned}$$

Last part is ony true if $z_{\theta} = \frac{1+\cos\theta}{2}$, not for $z = \frac{(E+p_z)_Q}{(E+p_z)_{\gamma}}$. Using angle directly very complicated: Depends on virtuality (only known after z is chosen) and "dipole mass" $m_{\gamma^*}^2$.

Heavy flavour in FSR: $g \to bb~({\rm II})$

Options by Sjöstrand, see online manual

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow Q\bar{Q}$ does not address going from 2 to 3 on-shell particles. \Rightarrow Rederive kernel from $h \rightarrow gg \rightarrow b\bar{b}g$, use (z, Q^2, m_D^2) to calculate z_{θ} \Rightarrow New kernel option:

$$W_4(z_{\theta}) = \beta \left[z_{\theta}^2 + (1 - z_{\theta})^2 + 8rz_{\theta}(1 - z_{\theta}) \right] \frac{(1 + \delta)}{(1 - \delta)} (1 - \delta)^3 \quad \text{"full ME"}$$

with $r=m_{
m Q}^2/{
m Q}^2$, $eta=\sqrt{1-4r}$ and $\delta={
m Q}^2/m_{
m D}^2$.

Integrated rate agrees with DGLAP if the factor $(1 - \delta)^3$ is removed: \Rightarrow New kernel option:

$$W_3(z_{\theta}) = \beta \left[z_{\theta}^2 + (1 - z_{\theta})^2 + 8rz_{\theta}(1 - z_{\theta}) \right] \frac{(1 + \delta)}{(1 - \delta)} \quad \text{"simple } m_D \text{ correction"}$$

These options differ by their virtuality and dipole mass dependence.

Heavy flavour in FSR: Theory results

Figure 8: Bottom-antibottom pair production as a function of the invariant mass. PYTHIA options: default (solid), 2 (dashed), 3 (dotted) and 4 (dashed-dotted).



Invariant mass of b pairs at LEP, from LU TP 14-15 master thesis.

Heavy flavour in FSR: Theory results

We can also investigate the effect of $lpha_s(p_{\perp}^2)
ightarrow lpha_s(m_{qq}^2)$



Invariant mass of b's at *ee*@200 GeV. Moderate overall shift. (Rivet analysis by Frank Krauss)



Invariant mass of b-jet pairs, from JHEP 1410 (2014) 141



Rivet analyis by Andy Buckley.



Rivet analyis by Andy Buckley.



 ΔR distributions from JHEP 1410 (2014) 141

Notes:

No heavy flavour produced though hadronization.

Heavy hadrons can have larger momenta than parent quarks.



In string model, heavy (and light) hadrons feel colour drag:

Hadrons from string end connected to jet "core" will be dragged towards jet core.

Hadrons from string end connected to beam will be dragged to beam.

Drag can lead to asymmetries because of proton composition: @ pp, more high- $p_{\perp} B^+$ than B^- Mostly permille-level effect.