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## Introduction

- Small systems collectivity is becoming precision physics!
- Models are plentiful, detailed knowledge needed to falsify:
- On th. side: Detailed knowledge about experimental conditions (triggers, particle definitions, centrality definitions, "what is a cumulant?" ...).
- On exp. side: What is the physics content of the models, how do they differ? ("Pythia with color reconnection explains it...").


## Pythia perspective

- Not one, but several models strung together!
- Underlying models $!=$ Pythia implementation.
- Pythia has no Quark-Gluon Plasma.
- This talk: hadrochemistry and flow, the physics content.

1. MPIs and color reconnections.
2. Rope hadronization.
3. String shoving.
4. The importance of the initial state.

## MPIs in PYTHIA8 pp (sfostrand and Skands: arxivithep-ph/0402078)

- Several partons taken from the PDF.
- Hard subcollisions with $2 \rightarrow 2$ ME:


Figure T. Sjöstrand

$$
\frac{d \sigma_{2 \rightarrow 2}}{d p_{\perp}^{2}} \propto \frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}\left(p_{\perp}^{2}\right)}{p_{\perp}^{4}} \rightarrow \frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}\left(p_{\perp}^{2}+p_{\perp 0}^{2}\right)}{\left(p_{\perp}^{2}+p_{\perp 0}^{2}\right)^{2}} .
$$

- Momentum conservation and PDF scaling.
- Ordered emissions: $p_{\perp 1}>p_{\perp 2}>p_{\perp 4}>\ldots$ from:

$$
\mathcal{P}\left(p_{\perp}=p_{\perp i}\right)=\frac{1}{\sigma_{n d}} \frac{d \sigma_{2 \rightarrow 2}}{d p_{\perp}} \exp \left[-\int_{p_{\perp}}^{p_{\perp i-1}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{n d}} \frac{d \sigma}{d p_{\perp}^{\prime}} d p_{\perp}^{\prime}\right]
$$

- Picture blurred by CR, but holds in general.
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## Light flavour determination

$$
\rho=\frac{\mathcal{P}_{\text {strange }}}{\mathcal{P}_{\text {u or d }}}, \xi=\frac{\mathcal{P}_{\text {diquark }}}{\mathcal{P}_{\text {quark }}}
$$

Related to $\kappa$ by Schwinger equation.

## Color reconnection? What's that?

- Many partonic subcollisions $\Rightarrow$ Many hadronizing strings.
- But! $N_{c}=3$, not $N_{c}=\infty$ gives interactions.
- Easy to merge low- $p_{\perp}$ systems, hard to merge two hard- $p_{\perp}$.

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\text {merge }}=\frac{\left(\gamma p_{\perp 0}\right)^{2}}{\left(\gamma p_{\perp 0}\right)^{2}+p_{\perp}^{2}}
$$



Figure T. Sjöstrand

- Actual merging by minimization of "potential energy":

$$
\lambda=\sum_{\text {dipoles }} \log \left(1+\sqrt{2} E / m_{0}\right)
$$

## Junction CR <br> (Christiansen and Skands arXiv:1505.01681 [hep-ph])

- Possible structures from QCD-inspired weight.
- Selection relies on $\lambda$-measure (potential energy).


## Ordinary string reconnection <br> 

Triple junction reconnection


## Double junction reconnection



Zipping reconnection


## Charmed baryons

- Good laboratory - highlights the effects!
- Changes the relative baryon/meson production rate.
- Keep the amount of charm fixed!
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## Colour Reconnection - microscopic collectivity?

## (Ortiz et al.: 1303.6326, CB QM18: 1807.05217 \& mcplots.cern.ch)
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## Colour Reconnection - microscopic collectivity?

3 Mechanism allows cross-talk over an event.
$B$ Needed for multiplicity \& $\left\langle p_{\perp}\right\rangle$.
$B$ Produces flow-like effect.
B Additional baryons!
N No direct space-time dependence.
P Concrete model clearly ad-hoc.

Short range in rapidity only.
o Too many baryons?

## Colour Reconnection - microscopic collectivity?

$\checkmark$ Mechanism allows cross-talk over an event.
$B$ Needed for multiplicity \& $\left\langle p_{\perp}\right\rangle$.
13 Produces flow-like effect.
B Additional baryons!
T No direct space-time dependence.
© Concrete model clearly ad-hoc.
Short range in rapidity only.
o Too many baryons?



## Colour Reconnection - microscopic collectivity?

B Mechanism allows cross-talk over an event.
$B$ Needed for multiplicity \& $\left\langle p_{\perp}\right\rangle$.
$B$ Produces flow-like effect.
$B$ Additional baryons!
R No direct space-time dependence.
© Concrete model clearly ad-hoc.
Short range in rapidity only.
o Too many baryons?


- After shoving, strings ( $p$ and $q$ ) still overlap.
- Combines into multiplet with effective string tension $\tilde{\kappa}$.


## Effective string tension from the lattice

$$
\kappa \propto C_{2} \Rightarrow \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{\kappa_{0}}=\frac{C_{2}(\text { multiplet })}{C_{2}(\text { singlet })} .
$$

## Rope Hadronization (JHEP 1503 (2015) 148 - explored heavily in $800^{\circ}$ sand $90^{\circ}$ 's1)

- After shoving, strings ( $p$ and $q$ ) still overlap.
- Combines into multiplet with effective string tension $\tilde{\kappa}$.


## Effective string tension from the lattice

$$
\kappa \propto C_{2} \Rightarrow \frac{\tilde{\kappa}}{\kappa_{0}}=\frac{C_{2}(\text { multiplet })}{C_{2}(\text { singlet })} .
$$

## Easily calculable using SU(3) recursion relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\{p, q\} \otimes \overrightarrow{3}=\{p+1, q\} \oplus\{p, q+1\} \oplus\{p, q-1\} \\
\underbrace{\square \otimes \square \otimes \ldots \otimes \square}_{\text {All anti-triplets }} \underbrace{\otimes \square \otimes \square \otimes \ldots \otimes \square}_{\text {All triplets }}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Rope Hadronization (JHEP 1503 (2015) 148 - explored heavily in $80^{\circ}$ 's and $90^{\circ}$ sl)

- After shoving, strings ( $p$ and $q$ ) still overlap.
- Combines into multiplet with effective string tension $\tilde{\kappa}$.


## Effective string tension from the lattice

$$
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- Transform to $\tilde{\kappa}=\frac{2 p+q+2}{4} \kappa_{0}$ and $2 N=(p+1)(q+1)(p+q+2)$.
- $N$ serves as a state's weight in the random walk.
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## Three conceptual options

1. Highest multiplet (Rope).
2. Lower multiplet (junction structure).
3. Singlet.

Lower multiplets \& singlets $\rightarrow$ QCD colour reconnection.

## The highest multiplet

- Remaining structure joins in a rope.
- Rope breaks one string at a time, reducing the remaining tension.
- Junctions carry baryon number.


## Strangeness enhanced by:

$$
\rho_{L E P}=\exp \left(-\frac{\pi\left(m_{s}^{2}-m_{u}^{2}\right)}{\kappa}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{\rho}=\rho_{L E P}^{\kappa_{0} / \kappa}
$$

- QCD + geometry extrapolation from LEP.
- Can never do better than LEP description!


## Forward/central multiplicity folding

- Full, honest comparison requires reproduction of centrality-measure.
- Recently possible in the Rivet project (rivet.hepforge.org, see ater)



## Strangeness enhancement

- Red: Pythia 8 Default, Blue: Pythia 8 w. Ropes, Black: ALICE data.



## An aside about LEP constraints

- Statement: Pythia describes LEP correctly!
- Truth: ... well, mostly!


- Even LEP leaves room for model development!
- ... and LHC allows for catching suspicious data!
- Needs: Apples-to-apples comparison to data.


## An aside about Levy-Tsallis fits

- Extrapolated spectra are difficult to compare to!
- For Pythia: Yields matches the fit, $\left\langle p_{\perp}\right\rangle$ not.




## Take home message

MC: Don't rely on fits for average quantities when the spectrum is off.
Pythia still has problems describing this. Shoving could improve matters.
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- All energy in electric field $\rightarrow g=1$.
- Reality:

Type 1 SC Energy to destroy vacuum.
Type 2 SC Energy in current.

## Some Results: shoving

- Reproduces the pp ridge with suitable choice of $g$ parameter.
- Improved description of $v_{2}\{2,|\Delta \eta|>2\}.\left(p_{\perp}\right)$ at high multiplicity.
- Low multiplicity not reproduced well - problems for jet fragmentation?



## Shoving: Why is AA so difficult?

- In pp two crude approximations were made:

1. All strings straight and parallel to the beam axis.
2. Pushes can be added as soft gluons.

- This gives problems in AA, which we are solving:

日b Beam axis $\rightarrow$ parallel frame.

- Soft gluons $\rightarrow$ push on hadrons.
- Straight strings $\rightarrow$ treatment of gluon kinks? (WiP).
- Enough for a toy run!


## A toy example

- Consider an elliptical overlap region filled with straight strings (no gluons).
- Same shoving parameters as for pp.




## Toy results

- To take away: The mechanism gives a resonable response.
- A local mechanism can result in global features.
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## The importance of the initial state

- Space-time information is important: We rely on models! Also true for hydro.
- Here: Overlapping 2D Gaussians (p mass distribution).
- Figure string $R=0.1 \mathrm{fm}$, reality $R \sim 0.5 \mathrm{fm}$.



## The importance of the initial state

- Space-time information is important: We rely on models! Also true for hydro.
- Here: Overlapping 2D Gaussians (p mass distribution).
- Figure string $R=0.1 \mathrm{fm}$, reality $R \sim 0.5 \mathrm{fm}$.



## The importance of the initial state

- Space-time information is important: We rely on models! Also true for hydro.
- Here: Overlapping 2D Gaussians (p mass distribution).
- Figure string $R=0.1 \mathrm{fm}$, reality $R \sim 0.5 \mathrm{fm}$.



## A more realistic model

- Initial state cascade/hot-spots from perturbative QCD.
- Mueller dipole BFKL as parton shower.


## Dipole splitting and interaction

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathcal{P}}{\mathrm{~d} y \mathrm{~d}^{2} \vec{r}_{3}} & =\frac{N_{c} \alpha_{s}}{2 \pi^{2}} \frac{r_{12}^{2}}{r_{13}^{2} r_{23}^{2}} \Delta\left(y_{\min }, y\right), \\
f_{i j} & =\frac{\alpha_{s}^{2}}{2} \log ^{2}\left(\frac{r_{13} r_{24}}{r_{14} r_{24}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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$\rightarrow$


## Everything fitted to cross sections

- Avoids fitting to predictions.
- Unitarized dipole-dipole amplitude plus Good-Walker.

$$
T(\vec{b})=1-\exp \left(-\sum f_{i j}\right), \sigma_{t o t}=\int d^{2} \vec{b} 2 T(\vec{b})
$$




## Geometry in pp, pA and AA

- Assuming $\epsilon_{2,3} \propto v_{2,3}$.
- Dipole model: $\epsilon_{2,3}$ equal for pp and pPb .



## Flow fluctuations: Looking inside

- Flow fluctuations and normalized symmetric cumulants.
- Best discrimination in pPb.
- Dipole evolution $\rightarrow$ negative $\operatorname{NSC}(2,3)$ in pPb .

- Important to develop realistic initial states.
- Point stands also for hydro.


## Rivet (for heavy ions)

- Comparison between model and experiment is crucial!
- It is important to get analysis details exactly right.
- Recent joint project between ALICE \& MC community.
- Easy implementation of triggers, primary

```
/// Perform the per-event analysis
void analyze (const Event& event) {
    // Charged, primary particles with at least pT = 50 MeV
    // in eta range of |eta|< < 0.5
    Particles chargedParticles =
        applyProjection<ALICE: :PrimaryParticles>(event,"APRIM").particles();
    // Trigger projections
    const ChargedFinalState& vzl =
        applyProjection<ChargedFinalState>(event,"VZER01");
    const ChargedFinalState& vz2 =
        applyProjection<ChargedFinalState>(event,"VZER02");
    const ChargedFinalState& spd =
    applyProjection<ChargedFinalState>(event,"SPD");
    int fwdTrig = (vzl.particles().size() > 0 ? 1 : 0) ;
    int bwdTrig = (vz2.particles().size() > 0 ? 1 : 0);
    int cTrig = (spd.particles().size() > 0 ? 1 : 0);
    if (fwdTrig + bwdTrig + cTrig < 2) vetoEvent;
    const centralityProjection& centrProj =
        apply<CentralityProjection>(event, "VOM");
    double centr = centrProj();
    if (centr > 80) vetoEvent;
    // Calculate number of charged particles and fill histogram
    double nch = chargedParticles.size():
    histNchVsCentr->fill(centr, nch);
``` particiles, centrality classes, flow...

\section*{Instead of a conclusion: Call for action!}
- Transition to precision science - activity on the MC side. (also in eg. HERWIG)
- New kid on the block: Rivet for heavy ions, strong pheno/ALICE collaboration.
- Rivet is a tool we can and should use to strengthen understanding.
- It is more than just another analysis framework...

A means to meet stratetic decisions about th/exp collaboration!
- Not just re-working old analyses, but also:
1. Keeping theorists honest!
2. Valuable input for tuning efforts.
3. Precise communication of predictions \& exp. constraints.
4. Valuable for upgrade discussions?
- Definitely something to build on in the future!```
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